



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/993,969	11/14/2001	Paul A. Siudzinski	7709-C	9013	
7	590 04/16/2003				
Alan F. Meckstroth JACOX, MECKSTROTH & JENKINS Suite 2 2310 Far Hills Building Dayton, OH 45419-1575			EXAMINER		
			TRAN A, PHI DIEU N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, ,			3637		
			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			_	CL			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Application No. pplicant(s)				
		09/993,969	SIUD	SIUDZINSKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art U	nit			
		Phi D A	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe by within the statutory mini will apply and will expire so, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be o SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailir become ABANDONED (35 U.	considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 i	March 2003 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-fir	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims AND Claims AND Claims							
-	4) Claim(s) 23-30 and 32-34 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34</u> is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-28,30 and 32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 29 and 33 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	-	' '			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ ,	, — , ,	the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			(0)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-4 Notice of Informal Patent A Other:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Amendment

2. The indicated allowability of claims 23-28, 30, 32 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Novak et al (5651223). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 23, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's claim 23 (the well known part) in view of Novak et al (5651223) and Yane.

Applicant claim 23 discloses a patio door assembly including a rectangular main frame having a pair of vertical jamb members rigidly connected by a top head member and a bottom sill member, a separate vertical astragal member spaced between said jamb members and rigidly connected to the head and sill members, a first door panel within the main frame and the said first door panel including a rectangular door panel frame supporting a glass panel, a second door panel within the main frame and the second door panel including a rectangular door panel frame supporting a glass panel, said astragal member and each of the door panel frames and the

Page 2

member of the main frame comprising extrusions of rigid plastics material, and the main frame and each of the door panel frames being welded mitered corner joints being well-known.

Applicant claim 23 does not show the panel frames including elongated frame members each having parallel spaced outer side walls and inner walls spaced substantially parallel to the side walls, a first plurality of spaced internal walls extending laterally between the side walls and the inner walls and integrally connecting the side walls to the inner walls, a second plurality of spaced internal walls extending laterally between the inner walls and integrally connecting the inner walls, a hinge having an inactive leaf secured by threaded fasteners to the astragal member and an active leaf secured by fasteners threaded into the internal walls of an adjacent said frame member of the second panel.

Novak et al (figure 4) shows the panel frames including elongated frame members (60) each having parallel spaced outer side walls and inner walls spaced substantially parallel to the side walls, a first plurality of spaced internal walls extending laterally between the side walls and the inner walls and integrally connecting the side walls to the inner walls, a second plurality of spaced internal walls extending laterally between the inner walls and integrally connecting the inner walls, the frames being connected to the astragal by fasteners and fasteners securing the frame by threading into the internal walls of an adjacent said frame member of the second panel.

Yane shows a hinge having an inactive leaf secured to the astragal (8) and an active leaf secured to the walls of an adjacent said frame member of the second panel.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Applicant's prior disclosure to show the panel frames including elongated frame members each having parallel spaced outer side walls and inner walls spaced substantially

parallel to the side walls, a first plurality of spaced internal walls extending laterally between the side walls and the inner walls and integrally connecting the side walls to the inner walls, a second plurality of spaced internal walls extending laterally between the inner walls and integrally connecting the inner walls, a hinge having an inactive leaf secured by threaded fasteners to the astragal member and an active leaf secured by fasteners threaded into the internal walls of an adjacent said frame member of the second panel because having the panel frame made of spaced interconnected walls as taught by Novak et al would reduce the weight of the door, and having a hinge with leafs secured to the astragal and an adjacent frame member with threaded fasteners would secure the door in place while providing the swingability property to the door.

5. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's disclosure claim 23 (the well known part) in view of Novak et al (5651223) and Yane.

Applicant's disclosure as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the hinge extending from the head member to the sill member, the inactive leaf of the hinge being longer than the active leaf of the hinge.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Applicant's modified disclosure to show the hinge extending from the head member to the sill member, the inactive leaf of the hinge being longer than the active leaf of the hinge because having the hinge extending from the head member to the sill would provide a strong attachment of the door to the frame and having the inactive leaf being longer than the active leaf would allow for strong attachment of the door to the frame and easy swingability of the door.

6. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's disclosure claim 23 (the well known part) in view of Novak et al (5651223) and Yane and Dallaire (5887387).

Applicant's disclosure as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the astragal member including parallel spaced opposite side walls integrally connected by interior and exterior end walls, stop portions integral with the side walls and projecting laterally outwardly from the side walls adjacent the frames of the door panel, a plurality of internal walls extending laterally of the side walls of the astragal member and integrally connecting the side walls, the internal walls spaced adjacent the interior and exterior end walls of the astragal member having longitudinally extending portions defining holes receiving vertical threaded fasteners extending through said head and sill members.

Novak et al (figure 4) further shows an astragal member including parallel spaced opposite side walls integrally connected by interior and exterior end walls, stop portions integral with the side walls and projecting laterally outwardly from the side walls adjacent the frames of the door panel, a plurality of internal walls extending laterally of the side walls of the astragal member and integrally connecting the side walls.

Dallaire shows a door having the lower and upper frame with holes receiving vertical threaded fasteners extending through head and sill members to fasten the frame structure in place.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Applicant's modified disclosure to show the astragal member including parallel spaced opposite side walls integrally connected by interior and exterior end walls, stop

Application/Control Number: 09/993,969

Art Unit: 3637

portions integral with the side walls and projecting laterally outwardly from the side walls adjacent the frames of the door panel, a plurality of internal walls extending laterally of the side walls of the astragal member and integrally connecting the side walls, the internal walls spaced adjacent the interior and exterior end walls of the astragal member having longitudinally extending portions defining holes receiving vertical threaded fasteners extending through said head and sill members because the astragal member including parallel spaced opposite side walls integrally connected by interior and exterior end walls, stop portions integral with the side walls and projecting laterally outwardly from the side walls adjacent the frames of the door panel, a plurality of internal walls extending laterally of the side walls of the astragal member and integrally connecting the side walls would provide for light weight of the astragal and the stopper would enable the sealing of the door environment from the elements, and the astragal having longitudinally extending portions defining holes receiving vertical threaded fasteners extending through said head and sill members would enable fastening of the astragal to the sill and header member which enables the astragal to stably supporting weights.

7. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's claim 23 (the well known part) in view of Novak et al (5651223) and Yane.

Applicant's disclosure as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for a metal approach member mounted on the sill member, the approach member having an upwardly projecting horizontal track extending between the jamb members, the approach including an inner portion defining a groove, a flexible door seal projecting into the groove.

Yane (figure 5) shows a metal approach member (15 and the part where the screen slides on) mounted on the sill member (the wooden part at bottom), the approach member having an

upwardly projecting horizontal track extending between the jamb members, the approach including an inner portion defining a groove (the groove underneath part 20), a flexible door seal (the seal left, beside part 20) projecting into the groove.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Applicant' modified disclosure to show a metal approach member mounted on the sill member, the approach member having an upwardly projecting horizontal track extending between the jamb members, the approach including an inner portion defining a groove, a flexible door seal projecting into the groove because it would enable the sliding of a screen on the patio door and the seal would protect the inside of the room from the environment.

8. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's claim 23 (the well known part) in view of Novak et al (5651223) and Yane.

Applicant's disclosure as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for an angular insert strip attached by snap-fit to exterior portions of the head and jamb members to define a channel adapted to receive a sliding screen door.

Yane (figure 5) shows an angular insert strip (figure 2, the part that is attached to the screen 44) attached by snap-fit to exterior portions of the head and jamb members to define a channel adapted to receive a sliding screen door.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Applicant's modified disclosure to show an angular insert strip attached by snap-fit to exterior portions of the head and jamb members to define a channel adapted to receive a sliding screen door because it would enable attachment of the screen to the head and jamb members for support.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,969

Art Unit: 3637

9. Claims 28, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's disclosure claim 23 (the well known part) in view of Novak et al (5651223) and Yane.

Applicant's disclosure as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for each of the door panel frames defining a peripherally extending and laterally outwardly facing external groove, and a rigid spacer member extending within the groove.

Novak et al (figure 4) further shows each of the door panel frames defining a peripherally extending and laterally outwardly facing external groove, a rigid spacer member (84) extending into the groove.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Applicant's modified disclosure to show each of the door panel frames defining a peripherally extending and laterally outwardly facing external groove, a rigid spacer member (84) extending into the groove because it would provide structural support and concealment for the frame members.

10. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's disclosure claim 23 (the well known part) in view of Novak et al (5651223) and Yane.

Applicant's disclosure as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for a latch mechanism mounted within the door panel frame of the second door panel between the parallel spaced inner walls.

Yane shows a latch mechanism (figure 2) mounted within the door panel frame of the second door panel between parallel spaced inner walls to enable opening and closing of the door.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Applicant's modified disclosure to show a latch mechanism mounted within

the door panel frame of the second door panel between parallel spaced inner walls because it enables opening and closing of the door as taught by Yane.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claim 34 is allowed.
- Claims 29, 33 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 12. U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Per claim 33, prior art does not show the inner walls of the first door panel frame including opposing ribs projecting inwardly from the inner walls into the groove, the spacer member comprising a spacer channel having U-shaped portions engaging the ribs with a snap-fit connection in combination with other claimed limitations.

Per claim 29, prior art does not show the groove in each said door panel frame being defined by spaced inner walls having opposing ribs projecting into the groove, the spacer member comprising a spacer channel having U-shaped portions engaging the ribs with a snap-fit connection in combination with other claimed limitations.

Per claim 34, prior art does not show a patio door assembly having a rectangular main frame having a pair of vertical jamb members rigidly connected by a top head member and a bottom sill member, the main frame having a vertical astragal member spaced between the jamb members and rigidly connected to the head and sill members, a first door panel within the main frame and including a rectangular door panel frame supporting a glass panel, a second door panel within the main frame and including a rectangular door panel frame supporting a glass panel, the

Application/Control Number: 09/993,969

Art Unit: 3637

astragal member and each of the door panel frames and the member of the main frame

comprising extrusions of rigid plastics material, the main frame and each of the door panel

frames having welded mitered corner joints, a hinge having an inactive leaf secured to the main

Page 10

frame and an active leaf secured to the frame of the second door panel, the head and jamb

members having outwardly projecting double wall exterior portions defining laterally inwardly

facing channels, elongated and removable plastic insert strips having an L-shaped cross-sectional

configuration and forming snap-fit connections with undercut grooves within the channels, the

strips having laterally inwardly projecting flanges adapted to retain a frame of a sliding screen

door within the head and jamb members.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different door designs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Phi Dieu Tran A April 11, 2003